

THE VISUAL ASSESSMENT OF FIFTY-SEVEN MENTALLY RETARDED SCHOOL CHILDREN

JAN MOREY DOBA
Wangaratta, Vic

Abstract

Fifty seven children from the OF type class (moderate to severe mental retardation) were screened for visual and/or motility defects. The results show approximately 40% had defects which is a higher incidence than that in the average school population.

Key words: *OF type class, visual/motility defects, visual screening.*

INTRODUCTION

Throughout New South Wales visual screening of mentally retarded children is usually done by the School Medical Service of the Health Commission of N.S.W. Orthoptists are rarely employed by the Health Commission to screen these children. In 1982/83 an orthoptist was employed to assist the school medical service sister in assessing children from Wewak Street Public School, Albury. This was done because of the difficulty experienced in the past, leaving on average 20% of the children untested each year.

Wewak Street School for Specific Purposes is run by the Education Department and is a school for the OF class of children with an average IQ of less than 55, i.e. moderate to severe mental retardation. The ages of the children tested ranged from four to 16 years old and 29 males and 28 females were tested.

METHOD

The testing of the visual acuity of the 57 children was not under ideal conditions. As the examination room was not six metres long and

there were difficulties experienced when trying to do the tests in the mirror, the VA tests were usually carried out in the corridor. The acuity tests used were mostly the Sheridan Gardiner linear and single optotypes as well as the Catford drum or the Stykar balls. The Catford drum was used in preference to the Stykar balls where possible, thus providing a written level of VA for teacher assessment. At first the Catford drum was not available, therefore some were tested with Stykar balls only.

Vision was tested with both eyes open (BEO) only if they were not co-operating with monocular vision testing. The cover test and ocular movements were also assessed, usually under more suitable conditions.

RESULTS

The disabilities and causes of retardation were obtained from the school files, hence a large number of unknown aetiologies.

Of the 14 Down's syndrome children tested 3 (21%) presented with a convergent squint.

Overall 23 (40%) children presented with visual and/or strabismic abnormalities including

Reprints from: Mrs J. Morey, P.O. Box 280, Beechworth, Victoria, 3747.

TABLE 1
Disabilities

| | |
|---|----|
| Downs syndrome | 14 |
| Cerebral palsy | 5 |
| Hydrocephalus and epilepsy | 4 |
| Epilepsy | 3 |
| Dwarfism/convulsions | 2 |
| Microcephaly, congenital myotonia dystonica, brain damaged, malnutrition and neglect in infancy, idiopathic hypercalcemia, psychological disturbance with poor family background | 1 |
| Deafness | 4 |
| Undiagnosed/unknown | 19 |

17 (30%) children with manifest strabismus; 29 (51%) children were orthophoric with VA of 6/9 or better and five (9%) children were untestable/unco-operative.

TABLE 2
Visual Acuity Tests Used

| | No. of children | % |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----|
| S.G. single optotypes | 23 | 40 |
| S.G. linear | 15 | 26 |
| Catford drum | 8 | 14 |
| Stykar balls | 6 | 11 |
| Untestable/unco-operative | 5 | 9 |

DISCUSSION

The incidence of visual abnormalities found in this study is comparable to that found by Gardiner.¹ Brown² found it possible to visually screen mentally handicapped children when working in "quiet familiar surroundings with a sympathetic and patient examiner". The incidence of visual defects found by Brown is higher (64%) than found in this study but the

TABLE 3
Visual Acuity Assessment

| | No. of children | % |
|--|-----------------|----|
| Equal vision of 6/9 or better | 18 | 32 |
| 1 line or less difference (no less than 6/9 in the weaker eye) | 9 | 16 |
| BEO—6/9 or better | 7 | 12 |
| 2 lines or more difference between each eye | 11 | 19 |
| BEO—6/12 or less | 7 | 12 |
| Untestable/unco-operative | 5 | 9 |

TABLE 4
Eye Movement Disorders

| | No. of children | % | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----|----|
| Convergent squint | 10 | 30 | |
| Divergent squint | 5 | | |
| Vertical squint | 2 | | |
| Nystagmus | 1 | | |
| Muscle imbalance without squint | 2 | | |
| Convergence weakness | 3 | 40 | |
| Untestable unco-operative | 5 | | 9 |
| Orthophoric | 29 | | 51 |

incidence of squint found (28%) is comparable. Banks³ found 69 out of 175 mentally retarded children to have squints (39%). Of these 69, 53 were convergent and 16 divergent, confirming my findings of convergent squint being more prevalent. Edwards, Price and Weisskopf⁴ found the incidence of squints in the retarded child to be 35% with the incidence of eye defects as a whole increasing to 48%. The figures from this study, 30% of children with squints increasing to 40% for those having visual and/or motility defects, are comparable and highlight the need for a full ophthalmological examination to be carried out.

It is interesting to note Erby⁵ found a higher incidence of squint (56%) and visual abnormalities presenting in mentally handicapped children whose IQ was generally lower than these children screened. It is also interesting to note that Eissler and Logenecker,⁶ and Hiles, Hoyme and McFarlane,⁷ Dunlop⁸ and others in the past have found a high incidence of convergent squint in Down's syndrome children. In this study three squints, all convergent, were found in 17 Down's children.

CONCLUSION

It has been shown there is a higher incidence of eye problems in mentally handicapped children than that in the normal school population. Banks states "that the earlier and better the visual sense functions then the greater chance the child has of achieving his potential".³ Therefore it is important for these children to be screened thoroughly. This can be achieved more accurately if the child is in familiar surroundings

with patient and sympathetic examiners, preferably two in number. It is therefore apparent that the orthoptist with his/her specialized knowledge and skills can be an important member of this team.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I sincerely thank Joan Causer from the School Medical Service for her invaluable help with the screening of these children.

References

1. Gardiner PA. Visual defects in cases of Down's syndrome and in other mentally handicapped children. *Brit J Ophthalmol* 1967; 51 (7): 469-474.
2. Brown SA. The results of visual screening of 80 mentally retarded children. *Aust Orthopt J* 1979-80; 17: 53-55.
3. Banks JKL. The assessment centre and the ocular problems of mentally handicapped children. *Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK* 1974, Symposium on paediatric ophthalmology; Vol. XCIV Pt. 1: 244-250.
4. Edwards WC, Price WD, Weisskopf B. Ocular findings in developmental handicapped children. *J Paed Ophthalmol* 1972; 9 (3): 162-167.
5. Erby J. The subjective visual assessment of the severely mentally handicapped child. *Aust Orthopt J* 1979-80; 17: 48-52.
6. Eissler R, Logenecker LP. The common eye findings in mongolism. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1962; 54: 398-406.
7. Hiles DA, Hoyme SH, McFarlane F. Down's syndrome and strabismus. *Am Orthopt J* 1974; 24: 63-68.
8. Dunlop P. Vision defects in Down's syndrome at Newcastle School for Specific Purposes. *Aust Orthopt J* 1984; 21: 39-42.